

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/591,096	05/11/2007	David W. Boykin	1523/2 PCT/US	6354
25297 IENKINS WI	7590 03/12/201 LSON, TAYLOR & HU	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BLVD., DURHAM, NC 27707			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,096	BOYKIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura L. Stockton	1626	

	Laura L. Stockton	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCNT18 from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the safe or vashedd period for reply will by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing carned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or e							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b)  objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
4) M Notice of Bufference (2014 (DTG 200))	0	(DTO 440)					

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S8/00)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-43 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Due to the numerous variables in the claims, e.g.  $A_1$ ,  $Ar_1$ , L,  $Ar_2$ , Z, Y, X, M,  $R_1$ , etc., and their widely divergent meanings, a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary:

Group I. Claims 1, 10-12, 14 and 22-29 (in-part),
drawn to methods of using compounds of Formula (I)

$$(R_t)_n \qquad (R_1)_n \qquad Z \qquad Z \qquad A_1 \qquad A_2$$

represented by

wherein L is

; each  ${\bf Z}$  is N and each  ${\bf Y}$  is NR3.

Group II. Claims 1, 10, 11, 13-15 and 22-29 (inpart), drawn to methods of using compounds of Formula

(I) represented by

wherein L

; each  ${f z}$  is N and each  ${f Y}$  is NR3.

**Group III.** Claims 1-9 and 22-29 (in-part), drawn to methods of using compounds of Formula (I) represented

wherein  ${f L}$  is selected from

$$(R_2)_p$$
  $(R_2)_p$  and

;  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is 0; and  $\boldsymbol{M}$  and  $\boldsymbol{N}$  are each

CH.

by

bv

**Group IV.** Claims 1 and 16-29 (in-part), drawn to methods of using compounds of Formula (I) represented

$$(R_2)_p$$
 $(R_1)_m$ 
 $Z$ 
 $(R_1)_m$ 

wherein X is S; and M and N

are each CH;  ${f Z}$  is N and  ${f Y}$  is NR3.

**Group V.** Claims 30-32 and 34-37 (in-part), drawn to compounds of Formula (III) represented by

$$A_1$$
 $A_2$ 
 $A_2$ 
 $A_3$ 
 $A_4$ 
 $A_4$ 
 $A_5$ 
 $A_4$ 
 $A_5$ 
 $A_5$ 
 $A_6$ 
 $A_7$ 
 $A_8$ 
 $A_8$ 
 $A_8$ 
 $A_8$ 
 $A_9$ 
 $A_9$ 

each  ${\bf Z}$  is N and each  ${\bf Y}$  is NR3.

Group VI. Claims 30, 31 and 33-37 (in-part), drawn
to compounds of Formula (III) represented by

$$\begin{array}{c} (R_1)_n \\ Z \\ Z \\ A_1 \end{array}$$
 wherein  $\mathbf{L}$  is

each  ${\boldsymbol z}$  is N and each  ${\boldsymbol y}$  is NR3.

Group VII. Claims 38-43 (in-part), drawn to a
method of preparing a compound of Formula (V)

represented by

Group VIII. Claims 38-43 (in-part), drawn to a
method of preparing a compound of Formula (V)

represented by

is 
$$(R_2)_q$$

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Again, this list is not exhausted as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, applicant may choose to elect a single invention by identifying another specific embodiment not listed in

the exemplary groups of the invention and the examiner will endeavor to group the same.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain 3 or more rings linked together (i.e., Ar1-L-Ar2) which does not define a contribution over the prior art. See, for example, the 2,5-diphenylfurans in U.S. Pat. 2,641,601 (column 1, lines 11-21). The substituents on the structure vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,096
Art Unit: 1626

Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,096
Art Unit: 1626

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

Application/Control Number: 10/591,096
Art Unit: 1626

directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 am to 2:30 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Laura L. Stockton/ Laura L. Stockton Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

March 12, 2010